

**INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES
MINERALS DEVELOPEMMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION**

MCDR INSPECTION REPORT

Gandhinagar regional office

Mine file No : RAJ/UDP/LEAD-ZINC-4

Mine code : 34RAJ26005

- (i) Name of the Inspecting : **G021**) **DATA RAM GURJAR**
Officer and ID No.
- (ii) Designation : Sr. Asst. Contrl. Mines
- (iii) Accompanying mine : Shri Deepak Prabhakar, Mines Manager & Shri Sandeep R
Official with
Designation
- (iv) Date of Inspection : 15-FEB-19
- (v) Prev.inspection date : 18-JUL-18

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

1. (a) **Mine Name** : **BAROI**
- (b) **Registration NO.** : **IBM/421/2011**
- (c) Category : A Mechanised
- (d) Type of Working : Underground
- (e) Postal address
State : RAJASTHAN
District : UDAIPUR
Village : ZAWAR
Taluka : SARADA
Post office : ZAWAR MINES
Pin Code :
FAX No. : 0294-2726243
E-mail : hzlm@datainfosys.net
Phone : 0294-2726611,2726612
- (f) Police Station : ZAWAR
- (g) First opening date : 01-DEC-73
- (h) Weekly day of rest : MON
2. Address for : PO- ZAWAR
correspondance : DISTT- UDAIPUR
RAJASTHAN
3. (a) Lease Number : RAJ1725
- (b) Lease area : 816
- (c) Period of lease :
- (d) Date of Expiry : 29-MAR-10
4. Mineral worked : LEAD & ZINC ORE Main

5. Name and Address of the

Lessee : Hindustan Zinc Ltd
 Yashad Bhavan Udaipur
 UDAIPUR RAJASTHAN
 Phone:
 FAX :

Owner : SUNIL DUGGAL
 YASHAD BHAWAN UDAIPUR
 UDAIPUR RAJASTHAN
 Phone: 0294-6604120
 FAX : 0294-6604120

Agent : H. P. Kalawat
 Zawar Mines , Udaipur
 UDAIPUR RAJASTHAN
 Phone:
 FAX :

Mining Engineer

Name : Chini Ravi Tej, Full Time
 Qualification : B TECH (Mining)
 Appointment/ : 31-MAR-13
 Termination date

6. Date of approval of Mining	:	Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988	15-SEP-03
Plan/Scheme of Mining	:	Modif. approved Mining Scheme	16-AUG-05

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

Sl.No.	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
1a	Backlog of previous year	Nil	Nil	
1b	Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2	2018-19: 300 nos. of bore holes/ 27000m	2018-19: 364 nos. of bore holes/ 34040m (up to Jan. 2019)	
1c	Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year	2018-19: HZL Rs. 26325000/-	2018-19: HZL Rs. 44252000/-	
1d	Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2	27000m with 320 nos. of bore holes for the year 2019-20.	N/A	
1e	Balance reserve as on 01/04/20	No specific proposal in this regard.	111- 1.628 Mt 121- 1.340 Mt 122- 0.862 Mt	
1f	General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc			Exploration has been carried out as per approved proposal.

Development :

Sl.No.	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
2a	Location of development w.r.t.lease area	During the year 2018-19 U/G development of 10000m was proposed.	During the year 2018-19 U/G development of 9997m has been carried out up to Jan 2019.	
2b	Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15)	Not applicable as it is U/G mine.	N/A	
2c	Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio	Not applicable as it is U/G mine.	N/A	
2d	Quantity of topsoil generation in m3	Not applicable as it is U/G mine.	N/A	

2e	Quantity of overburden generation in m3	Not applicable as it is U/G mine. However total waste generation proposal for the year 2018-19 was 211000 tonnes from U/G mine development.	OB generation- Nil Total 190755 tonnes waste generated during the year 2018-19 up to Jan 2019 from the U/G mine development.	
2f	General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc			Development targets has been achieved for the year 2018-19.

Exploitation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
3a	Number of pit proposed for production	Not proposed	Being U/G mine not applicable.	
3b	Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed	Year 2018-19 ROM production proposal was 1000000 tonnes.	Year 2018-19 ROM production achieved (up to Jan 2019) 808606 tonnes.	
3c	Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production	100%	100%	
3d	Quantity of mineral reject generation	Not proposed	Whole ROM is beneficiated. Mineral reject is in the form of tailing reject generation.	
3e	Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared.	Not proposed	Pb-0.26%, Zn-0.87%	
3f	Quantity of sub grade mineral generation.	Not proposed.	No subgrade generation.	
3g	Grade of sub grade mineral generation	Not proposed.	No subgrade generation.	
3h	Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM	Froth flotation method	Froth flotation method	

3i	Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects.	No proposal	None
3j	Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches	Being U/G mine drilling and blasting proposed for U/G mine development and ore production.	Being U/G mine drilling and blasting carried out for U/G mine development and ore production.
3k	Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches	Not proposed.	It is U/G mine, the mining machinery used for mine development and production are as- Drill jumbo, ITH drill, Simba Drill machine, LHD's, LPDT's etc.
3l	Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM	Not proposed.	Being U/G mine not applicable.
3m	Total area covered under excavation/pits	Not proposed.	Being U/G mine not applicable.
3n	Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year.	Not proposed.	Being U/G mine not applicable.

3o	Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year	At the end of Mining Plan period i.e. 2019-20 the area put to use under different heads are as follows for Zawar lease area (in Hect.): Mining-34.09 Mineral storage-2.0 Ben. Plant-8.66 Townships-34.4 Tailing dam-81.52 Roads-9.68 Infrastructre-53.66 Waste dump-0.5 Community development-8.71 Others-18.30 Total-251.52 hect.	As per AR 2017-18 Zawar lease area (in Hect.) Mining-34.09 Mineral Storage-2.0 Ben. Plant-8.66 Townships-34.4 Tailing pond-119.52 Roads-9.68 Infrastructre-53.66 Waste dump-10.57 Community development-8.71 Others-18.30 Total-299.59	Violation of rule 45(7) of MCDR 2017 already pointed during the previous inspection regarding discrepancies of land use pattern.
3p	Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable	2013-14 400000T 2014-15 425000T 2015-16 425000T 2016-17 600000T 2017-18 850000T 2018-19 1000000T	2013-14 261010T 2014-15 322900T 2015-16 402000T 2016-17 602000T 2017-18 714198T 2018-19 808606T(up to jan 19)	
3q	General remarks of inspecting officers on method of mining etc.			Method of mining is suitable to the type of deposit.

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
--------	------	-----------	-------------	---------

4a	Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33)	Proposal for waste dumping is given.	Being U/G mine, there is no top soil, OB. Waste generated during the U/G mine development disposed off as per approved proposal.	
4b	Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps	No proposal.	The waste generated in U/G, some quantity of waste dumped in mined out stopes and rest are brought to the surface and used for tailing dam construction and surface levelling work.	
4c	Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area	Not proposed.	None.	
4d	Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16)	Not proposed.	None.	
4e	Number of active and alive dumps.	Not proposed.	None	
4f	Number of dead dumps.	Not proposed.	None.	
4g	Number of dumps established.	Not proposed.	None	
4h	Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there.	Not proposed.	None	
4i	Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps	Not proposed.	None	
4j	Number of settling ponds	Not proposed.	None	
4k	Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management			Waste disposal has been carried out as per approved proposal.

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
--------	------	-----------	-------------	---------

5a	Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling.	No specific proposal given	Being U/G mine the filling of mined out stopes being carried out after full extraction of mineral from the stopes.	
5b	Area under backfilling of mined out area	No specific proposal.	Some quantity of waste dumped in mined out stopes.	
5c	Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32)	Not proposed.	Being U/G mine, not applicable. there is not top soil generation.	
5d	Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated	Not proposed.	Being U/G mine not applicable.	
5e	General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc.			Being U/G mine there is no specific proposal of backfilling of mined out stopes. however some quantity of waste generated is being used for fill the mined out stopes.

Progressive Mine Closure Plan:

Sl.No.	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
6a	Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2).	PMCP report submitted for the year 2017-18 and for the year 2017-18 and for the year 2018-19 it will be due on 30.06.2019.	PMCP report submitted for the year 2017-18 and for the year 2018-19 it will be due on 30.06.2019.	
6b	Area available for rehabilitation (ha) .	2018-19: 4 Hect.(For Zawar lease area)	2018-19(up to jan 2019): 75 Hect. gap filling plantation(For Zawar lease area)	
6c	afforestation done (ha).	4 Hect.	75 Hect. gap filling plantation	
6d	No. of saplings planted during the year	4000 nos.	25000 sapling planted during the year 2018-19.	
6e	Cumulative no .of plants	Not specified.	425625 nos. plants (up to Jan 2019)	

6f	Any other method of rehabilitation	No proposal	None.
6g	Cost incurred on watch and care during the year	Not specified.	Rs. 705422 (Zawar lease)
6h	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D	2018-19: 193256cum	2018-19: 219259cum (up to Jan 19)
6i	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings	2018-19: 118222cum	2018-19: 105975cum (up to Jan 19)
6j	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii)Afforestation on on backfilled area	No proposal	Not applicable
6k	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir	No proposal	Not applicable
6l	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means.	No proposal	None
6m	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation	4 hect. with 4000 saplings.	75 hect. gap filling plantation with 25000 plants.
6n	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha)	4 hect.	75 hect. gap filling plantation.

6o	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation	By plantation.	By plantation.	
6p	Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone)	Regular monitoring will be carried out.	Regular monitoring in core and buffer zone is being carried out.	
6q	General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc.			PMCP compliance is satisfactory.

Mineral Conservation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
7a	ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area	Proposal for beneficiation given.	Whole ROM is being dispatched to captive beneficiation plant.	
7b	Method of grade-wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical.	Not proposed.	Whole ROM is being dispatched to captive beneficiation plant.	
7c	Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines.	Not proposed.	Whole ROM is being dispatched to captive beneficiation plant.	
7d	Any beneficiation process at mines	Yes, proposed.	Beneficiation plant located within lease area.	
7e	General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues			Whole ROM is beneficiated. There is no subgrade mineral generation.

Environment:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
--------	------	-----------	-------------	---------

8a	Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32)	Not proposed.	Being U/G mine, there is no top soil generation.	
8b	Concurrent use or storage of topsoil	Not proposed.	Being U/G mine, there is no top soil generation.	
8c	Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33)	Proposal given for U/G development waste disposal.	Waste generated in U/G mine is being used for U/G voids filling as well as tailing dam.	
8d	Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use	No specific proposal given for OB, reject and fine dumps.	Waste generated in U/G mine development is being used for U/G void filling as well as construction of tailing dam.	
8e	Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc)	No specific proposal given	Being U/g mine not applicable.	
8f	Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41)	2018-19: 4000 nos. saplings.	2018-19: 25000 saplings (up to Jan 19)	
8g	Survival rate	80%	80%	
8h	Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust	Proposed.	Water sprinkling is being carried out for dust suppression.	
8i	General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area			Lessee is taking care for maintaining aesthetic beauty in and around the mine areas.

Compliance of Rule 45:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
--------	------	-----------	-------------	---------

9a	Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns	M.R. submitted up to feb. 2019. A.R. submitted for the year 2017-18.	M.R. submitted up to feb. 2019. A.R. submitted for the year 2017-18.	
9b	Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager	Deatils given	Found ok	
9c	Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc.	Information given	Details are not properly given.	Violation of rule 45(7) of MCDR 2017 has already been pointed out during the previous inspection.
9d	Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation	Information given	Found in order.	
9e	Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity)	Information given	Information in Part-V section 7 is not given.	Violation of rule 45(7) of MCDR 2017 has already been pointed out during the previous inspection.
9f	Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore	Information given.	Details given	
9g	Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost	Information given	Information in Part VII(Cost of production) not propperly given.	Violation of rule 45(7) of MCDR 2017 has already been pointed out during the previous inspection.
9h	Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets	Information given	Found in order.	
9k	Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries	Information given.	Found in order.	

Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out

Violation observed			Show cause position		
Rule NO.	Issued on	Compliance on	Rule NO.	Issued on	Compliance on
MCDR17	Rule 19(1)	26-MAR-19			
MCDR17	Rule 31(4)	26-MAR-19			
MCDR17	Rule 46(a)	26-MAR-19			

Date :

(DATA RAM GURJAR)

Indian Bureau of Mines